



State of Utah

JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.
Governor

GARY R. HERBERT
Lieutenant Governor

Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD
Executive Director

Division of Facilities Construction and Management

DAVID G. BUXTON
Director

ADDENDUM #1

Date: May 5, 2009

To: Consultants

From: Tim Parkinson, Project Manager, DFCM

Reference: Ogden 2nd District Court – Security Upgrade Phase II
Administrative Office of the Courts – Ogden, Utah
DFCM Project No. 07210150

Subject: **Addendum No. 1**

Pages	<u>Addendum</u>	2 pages
	Total	2 pages

Note: *This Addendum shall be included as part of the Contract Documents. Items in this Addendum apply to all drawings and specification sections whether referenced or not involving the portion of the work added, deleted, modified, or otherwise addressed in the Addendum. Acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in the space provided on the Bid Form. Failure to do so may subject the Bidder to Disqualification.*

While we contend that SB220 should only be potentially applicable to a contract issued after the effective date of said bill, this is to clarify that for purposes of this contract, regardless of the execution or effective dates of this contract, the status of Utah Law and remedies available to the State of Utah and DFCM, as it relates to any matter referred to or affected by said SB220, shall be the Utah law in effect at the time of the issuance of this Addendum.

1.1 **SCHEDULE CHANGES** – There are no changes to the project schedule.

1.2 **GENERAL** – Questions and Answers.

1. A question was raised about the DVR Specification (“282300 2.1.A.1 Exacq (match existing Verify Prior to bid).”), and whether or not this is a sole source product. I have only recently had experience with Exacq-Vision DVRs in determining that this is the existing recording system at Ogden courts, and have also received information from Alyn Lunceford of Courts Administration that Exacq-Vision hybrid DVRs, along with Advent and Global Surveillance, are approved for specifying for DFCM security projects over the formerly approved Pelco and American-Dynamics DVRs. I was not directly involved on the previous project that added the Exacq DVRs at Ogden Courts, so I don’t know exactly how that came about, but since the current project is an expansion of the existing recording system, matching the existing DVRs is the most reasonable option. (For clarification, although Advent DVRs were mentioned in the pre-bid meeting, the specification is correct in listing Exacq for the DVR manufacturer.)

2. A question was raised about the size and type of camera enclosures on the basement level that are noted to reuse the existing enclosure. These are ceiling flush-mounted high-security wedge enclosures, similar to Pelco's HS2100 enclosure which is supposed to accommodate a 3"x4"x10" camera, which should be quite a bit of room to work with these days. The selected bidder should still field verify conditions prior to ordering these cameras.

3. A question was raised about the amount of patching and painting required. Paint should match existing and existing paint numbers will be provided by the agency. All cameras indicated as new should require little if any patch or paint if installed with care. Cameras indicated as replace existing camera and enclosure should include some minimal patch and paint with each device. And cameras indicated as re-use existing enclosure should not require any patch or paint (if proper care is taken). Of course in general, all penetrations through finished surfaces must be patched and painted, as well as any damage caused during construction. Pictures are recommended prior to beginning work and any areas found to be previously damaged should be reported to DFMC and the building supervisor immediately.

