

# Value-Based Selection Short List Scoring Matrix

Weber State University  
 Solar Thermal System - Swenson Building  
 DFCM Project No. 11004810

Thursday, February 17, 2011

Firms		W	S	U
Selection Criteria	Points Possible			
Design Proposal	25	23.3	20.0	17.5
Schedule	10	7.0	8.0	6.7
DFCM Past Performance Rating	10	10.0	9.0	9.5
Strength of Contractor's Team	20	16.7	16.0	13.3
Project Management Approach	15	11.5	8.0	12.0
Cost	20	20.0	20.0	20.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>88.5</b>	<b>81.0</b>	<b>79.0</b>

Following the evaluation of each of the firms that submitted on this project, the selection committee has ranked Harris-Dudley Co., as the firm that provides the best value to the State of Utah.



State of Utah

JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.  
Governor

GARY R. HERBERT  
Lieutenant Governor

## Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD  
Executive Director

### Division of Facilities Construction and Management

DAVID G. BUXTON  
Director

23 February 2011

Approved By: \_\_\_\_\_

Date Approved: \_\_\_\_\_

Agency: **WEBER STATE UNIVERSITY**

Project Name – Services: **SOLAR THERMAL SYSTEM – SWENSON BUILDING**

DFCM Project No.: **11004810**

DFCM Project Manager: **JEFF WRIGLEY**

### **SELECTION COMMITTEE JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT**

The selection committee would like to express it's appreciation to all of the teams that proposed for services on the above referenced project. The selection committee selected **HARRIS-DUDLEY CO.** based on the following detailed conclusion supporting the selection in accordance with the selection criteria:

#### Design Proposal:

**Harris-Dudley went above-and-beyond in their research into project feasibility. Their design proposal was very specific, well-reasoned, and did not rely on “rules of thumb.” They optimized solar production and provided passive limiting of over-production in summer months. Their project-specific research provided a well-thought-out plan for how the system would be integrated structurally that included the measures required to attach racking to the roof’s structural elements. Their design considered the shortest piping routes and fewest roof attachments.**

#### Schedule:

**Harris-Dudley addressed staging and working with the re-roof project. Their schedule was in accordance with project goals.**

#### DFCM Past Performance Rating:

**This score is based on the average of ratings for previous projects as explained in the RFP.**

#### Strength of Team:

**Harris-Dudley team members interviewed well and demonstrated a solid working relationship. They also demonstrated strong technical expertise. Key members communicated well and were “on the same page” in terms of their approach to the project. They clearly identified their roles in the project and what to expect from each team member.**

Project Management Approach:

**Harris-Dudley demonstrated a superior understanding of project requirements and presented the most detailed description of how they would carry out the project. They identified how to integrate their proposed system into the existing pool heating system with minimal disruption. They presented a solid plan of how to handle coordination with the roofing contractor to minimize the risk of damage from staging and foot traffic.**

Cost: (applicable to CM/GC)

**All cost proposals were at the given budget. Cost was not a factor in the selection.**