

Value-Based Selection Final Matrix

Utah College of Applied Technology
 New Tooele ATC-Constructon
 DFCM Project No. 11064280

March 15, 2011

Firms		A	B	C	D	E
Selection Criteria	Points Possible					
Cost	30	30.0	15.0	12.0	15.0	3.0
Schedule	15	14.3	12.8	12.4	12.8	10.1
DFCM Past Performance Rating	10	9.3	9.5	9.4	8.8	9.7
Strength of Contractor's Team	25	22.5	21.3	22.5	18.8	17.5
Project Management Approach	20	19.0	18.0	17.5	15.0	13.0
Total	100	95.1	76.5	73.8	70.3	53.4

Following the evaluation of each of the firms that submitted on this project, the selection committee has selected Hughes General Contractors as the firm that provides the best value for the State of Utah.



State of Utah

Gary R. HERBERT
Governor

GREG BELL
Lieutenant Governor

Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD
Executive Director

Division of Facilities Construction and Management

DAVID G. BUXTON
Director

16 March 2012

Agency: Utah College of Applied Technology
Project Name – Services: New Tooele ATC-Construction
DFCM Project No.: 11064280
DFCM Project Manager: **Darrell Hunting**

Approved By: VAM

Date Approved: 3/16/12

SELECTION COMMITTEE JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT

The selection committee would like to express its appreciation to all of the teams that proposed for services on the above referenced project. The selection committee selected the **Hughes General Contractors** based on the following detailed conclusion supporting the selection in accordance with the selection criteria:

DFCM Past Performance Rating:

This score is based on the average of ratings for previous projects as explained in the RFP.

Strength of Team:

Hughes General Contractors had the best overall experience with tilt up construction. They had a very good understanding of the project, with a successful history of constructing similar type buildings. This team also had the best overall knowledge of the site utilities with a good understanding of what it takes to work with the City of Tooele.

Project Management Approach:

Hughes General Contractors had a well thought out management plan and approach to this project. They emphasized the need for communication, follow through and team work to make this a successful project. It was very apparent that they had done their homework and knew every aspect of this project.

Schedule:

The proposed project schedule is realistic, delivering the project almost two months ahead of the requested completion date. It was apparent that they had a good understanding of every stage of construction and what they needed to do to make their schedule a reality.

Cost:

This score is based on the cost formula which included the base bid and all alternates.