

Final Selection Matrix

University of Utah
Electrical & High Temperature Water Utility Distribution Upgrade
DFCM Project No. 12042750

May 9, 2012

Firms		A	B	C	D
Selection Criteria	Points Possible				
Cost	30	30.0	21.0	25.0	24.0
DFCM Past Performance Rating	10	9.5	9.4	9.4	9.7
Strength of Contractor's Team	30	25.0	28.0	25.0	21.0
Project Management Approach	30	27.0	26.0	24.0	21.0
Total	100	91.5	84.4	83.4	75.7

Following the evaluation of each of the firms that submitted on this project, the selection committee has selected Layton Construction as the firm that provides the best value for the State of Utah.



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

GREG BELL
Lieutenant Governor

Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD
Executive Director

Division of Facilities Construction and Management

DAVID G. BUXTON
Director

10 May 2012

Agency: University of Utah
Project Name: CM/GC - Electrical and High Temp Water Utility Distribution
DFCM Project No.: **12042750**
DFCM Project Manager: Jim Russell

Approved By: 

Date Approved: 5-10-12

SELECTION COMMITTEE JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT

The selection committee would like to express its appreciation to all of the teams that proposed for services on the above referenced project. The selection committee selected **Layton Construction** based on the following detailed conclusion supporting the selection in accordance with the selection criteria:

DFCM Past Performance Rating: This score is based on an average of ratings from previous projects as defined in the RFP.

Strength of Team: Layton Construction provided an experienced team well suited to manage the construction, maintain safety and reduce disruption of services and access to the Campus. They demonstrated excellent experience and expertise relative to the unique characteristics of this project.

Project Management Approach: Layton Construction provided an innovative and well thought out approach to project management. They showed an excellent understanding of the project and the inherent risks. Their preconstruction plan was also well thought out and addressed previously unidentified areas of concerns and ways to mitigate these issues. They also provided a plan to address the limited Phase I funding and to maximize value to the project.

Cost: Layton Construction provided the best overall value to the University of Utah and the DFCM in actual cost and the best overall value as a team.