

Final Selection Matrix

Utah Valley University
 New Classroom Building-A/E
 DFCM Project No.12192790

June 20, 2012

Firms		A	B	C
Selection Criteria	Points Possible			
DFCM Past Performance Rating	20	18.2	18.9	17.9
Strength of Team	30	27.0	25.0	21.0
Project Management Approach	30	27.0	24.0	19.0
Schedule	20	18.0	18.7	18.0
Total	100	90.2	86.5	75.9

Following the evaluation of each of the firms that submitted on this project, the selection committee has selected CRSA/Method Studio as the firm that provides the best value for the State of Utah.



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

GREG BELL
Lieutenant Governor

Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD
Executive Director

Division of Facilities Construction and Management

DAVID G. BUXTON
Director

21 June 2012

Approved By: WJH

Date Approved: 6/21/12

Agency: **Utah Valley University**
Project Name – Services: **New Classroom Building – A/E**
DFCM Project No.: **12192790**
DFCM Project Manger: **Kurt Baxter**

SELECTION COMMITTEE JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT

The selection committee would like to express it's appreciation to all of the teams that proposed for services on the above references project. **All proposing teams were qualified to provide the project's design services.** The selection committee selected **CRSA/Method Studio as the best value team** based on the following detailed conclusion supporting the selection in accordance with the selection criteria:

DFCM Past Performance Rating:

This score is based on the average of ratings for previous projects as explained in the RFP.

Strength of Team:

CRSA/Method Studio was judged to have the strongest team by the selection committee. The combined experience of the team was broad and diverse, with both teams bringing different expertise to the table.

Project Management Approach:

CRSA/Method Studio was judged to have the best management approach by the selection committee. They had a good understanding of the project, and presented several solutions on how to deal with the scale of the building on the site, and in conjunction with its proximity to surrounding buildings.

Schedule:

CRSA/Method Studio provided a detailed schedule that was judged to be adequate by the committee. There was sufficient detail to explain how the critical dates would be met.