

Final Scoring Matrix

University of Utah
 Medical Services Building
 DFCM Project No. 12336750

January 10, 2013

Firms		A	B	C	D	E
Selection Criteria	Points Possible					
DFCM Past Performance Rating	10	8.9	9.4	9.3	9.0	9.7
Strength of Team	40	40.0	40.0	33.3	32.0	29.3
Project Management Plan	40	40.0	37.3	36.0	33.3	28.0
Schedule	10	9.7	9.3	9.0	8.7	8.7
Total	100	98.6	96.0	87.6	83.0	75.7

Following the evaluation of each of the firms that submitted on this project, the selection committee has selected *FFKR Architects* as the firm that provides the best value for the State of Utah.



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

GREG BELL
Lieutenant Governor

Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD
Executive Director

Division of Facilities Construction and Management

DAVID G. BUXTON
Director

11 January 2013

Agency: University of Utah
Project Name Medical Services Building– Programming & A/E Services:
DFCM Project No.:12336750
DFCM Project Manager: Dave McKay

Approved By: 

Date Approved: 1-11-13

SELECTION COMMITTEE JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT

The selection committee would like to express it's appreciation to all of the teams that proposed for services on the above references project. The selection committee selected **FFKR Architecture** based on the following detailed conclusion supporting the selection in accordance with the selection criteria:

DFCM Past Performance Rating: This score is based on an average of ratings from previous projects as defined in the RFP.

Strength of Team: The FFKR team is very familiar with University of Utah work and Rick Frerichs has unique experience with ophthalmology at the Moran Eye Center in particular. Kim Menge's qualification as an RN also provided the team with a singular point of view for the programming effort. Other team members have worked well on similar projects.

Project Management Approach: The plan for programming meetings was described in detail, demonstrating an ability to lead the users to effective solutions. The FFKR team had examined several masterplanning options demonstrating a high level of familiarity of problems that will require further investigation. All members of the team are local and have experience working together.

Schedule: The team is eager to start on a schedule that appears very realistic