

# Final Scoring Matrix

University of Utah  
 Crocker Science Center - Programming  
 DFCM Project No. 12337750

January 17, 2013

Firms		A	B	C	D	E
Selection Criteria	Points Possible					
DFCM Past Performance Rating	10	9.4	9.1	9.4	9.0	8.9
Strength of Team	40	38.7	34.7	32.0	33.3	32.0
Project Management Plan	40	37.3	33.3	36.0	33.3	30.7
Schedule	10	9.3	9.3	8.7	8.7	8.0
Total	100	94.7	86.4	86.0	84.3	79.6

Following the evaluation of each of the firms that submitted on this project, the selection committee has selected EDA Architects as the firm that provides the best value for the State of Utah.

Justification for Selection of EDA for Programming  
For the Crocker Science Center at the George Thomas Building

Date: January 18, 2013

Manager: Rick James, Project Manager

Project: 12337750  
University of Utah  
Programming for the Crocker Science Center at the  
George Thomas Building

**Re: Selection of EDA for Programming Services**

**Recommendation:**

It is recommended to award the **Programming Services** Programming for the Crocker Science Center at the George Thomas Building to EDA Architects.

**Justification:**

This selection is justified because of the following reasons:

- a) The average scores of the five firms were as follows.

Ranking	Average Score
1	94.7
2	86.4
3	86.0
4	84.3
5	79.6

- b) The selection was done with the Value Based Selection Method for AE Services. The process was conducted by the Project Manager according to the policy and rules for this procedure.
- c) The selection committee was appointed with three members as follows:
- a. DFCM Dave McKay, Program Director
  - b. U of U Mike Perez, Associate Vice President UofU Facilities
  - c. Invited: Joseph Jacoby, Jacoby Architects
- d) The selection was based on the scoring each firm after the interviews. Cost was not a category of selection criteria.
- a. DFCM Past Performance Rating: The ratings were provided by DFCM and are the ratings currently on file for the three firms.
  - b. Strength of Team: Key points of this category were consultant strengths in the areas of seismic design, historic restoration, lab design, and educational planning and design. The selected team consultants for lab design and educational design indicated substantial experience in applicable areas related to this project. Of particular strength was the experience related to trends in education and expertise in the design of flexibility in educational spaces and in labs. The selected team ranked highest in this category.

- i. Project Management Approach: The highest ranked firms touched on important features of the programming process including the ability of the out-of-state firm to work on the project in an effective way, effective use of workshops and graphic illustrations in of the process.
  - c. Schedule: The selected team clearly emphasized important milestones in the schedule. The selected team indicated the earliest schedule completion.
- e) Interviews for Thatcher were held Thursday January 17, 2013 in the Senate Office Building, Beehive Room. The interviews consisted of 40 Minutes for presentations, 10 Minutes for Q&A.