

# Final Scoring Matrix

Utah State University  
 Athletic Competition and Training Facility - A/E  
 DFCM Project No.12339770

January 31, 2013

Firms		A	B	C	D	E
Selection Criteria	Points Possible					
DFCM Past Performance Rating	15	14.0	14.1	13.8	14.0	14.1
Strength of Team	30	30.0	25.0	23.0	20.0	17.0
Project Management Approach	25	23.3	19.2	20.0	18.3	21.7
Schedule	30	28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0	27.0
Total	100	95.4	86.3	84.8	80.4	79.7

Following the evaluation of each of the firms that submitted on this project, the selection committee has selected VCBO Architecture as the firm that provides the best value for the State of Utah.



State of Utah

Gary R. HERBERT  
Governor

GREG BELL  
Lieutenant Governor

Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD  
Executive Director

Division of Facilities Construction and Management

DAVID G. BUXTON  
Director

1 February 2013

Approved By: van

Date Approved: 2/1/13

Agency: **Utah State University**

Project Name – Services: **USU Athletic Competition & Training Facility - Design**

DFCM Project No.: **12339770**

DFCM Project Manager: **Darrell Hunting**

**SELECTION COMMITTEE JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT**

The selection committee would like to express its appreciation to all of the teams that proposed for services on the above referenced project. The selection committee selected **VCBO** based on the following detailed conclusion supporting the selection in accordance with the selection criteria:

**DFCM Past Performance Rating:**

**This score is based on the average of ratings for previous projects as explained in the RFP.**

**Strength of Team:**

**This team had the best overall experience with Collegiate Athletic Basketball and Volleyball facilities. They had a very good understanding of the needs of Collegiate Sports training programs, with a successful history of designing world class athletic training centers. This team has worked on several projects of this type together.**

**Project Management Approach:**

**The VCBO team had a well thought out management plan and approach to this project. Their understandings of DFCM and USU procedures, as well as their experience with the CMGC delivery method makes this team a good choice for this project.**

**Schedule:**

**The proposed project schedule is very realistic with two bid packages and meets the completion date requested by the user. Also indicated a possible early site bid package to expedite the schedule.**