

Final Scoring Matrix

Utah State University
 Athletic Competition and Training Facility-CMGC
 DFCM Project No.12339770

January 24, 2013

Firms		A	B	C	D
Selection Criteria	Points Possible				
DFCM Past Performance Rating	15	14.1	13.9	14.1	14.3
Cost	15	12.0	15.0	13.5	10.5
Strength of Team	25	24.2	20.0	17.5	19.2
Project Management Approach	25	24.2	17.5	17.5	18.3
Schedule	20	19.3	15.3	16.0	14.7
Total	100	93.8	81.7	78.6	76.9
<p>Following the evaluation of each of the firms that submitted on this project, the selection committee has selected <u>Okland Construction</u> as the firm that provides the best value for the State of Utah</p>					



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

GREG BELL
Lieutenant Governor

Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD
Executive Director

Division of Facilities Construction and Management

DAVID G. BUXTON
Director

25 January 2013

Approved By: WJH

Date Approved: 1/25/13

Agency: **Utah State University**

Project Name – Services: **USU Athletics Competition & Training Facility - CMGC**

DFCM Project No.: **12339770**

DFCM Project Manager: **Darrell Hunting**

SELECTION COMMITTEE JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT

The selection committee would like to express it's appreciation to all of the teams that proposed for services on the above references project. The selection committee selected **Okland Construction** based on the following detailed conclusion supporting the selection in accordance with the selection criteria:

Cost:

Cost was evaluated based on the pre-construction fee, construction management fee and monthly construction supervision fee. Although Okland was not low when combining these together, they showed that through their Pre-construction service, Strength of Team, and Project Management approach this team would bring the best value to this project. The Okland team provided a site analysis that was judged to be superior to other proposals, which the committee determined was added value to the project.

DFCM Past Performance Rating:

This score is based on the average of ratings for previous projects as explained in the RFP.

Strength of Team:

This team showed great strength in having significant experience with this type of project. They showed a vast amount of knowledge about the flooring and overall clear height requirements that the facility would require. Their detailed plan in the pre-construction phase will bring added value to the project.

Project Management Approach:

Okland Constructions management approach showed that they had clearly thought out all aspects of the project sites and how they would manage the project in a safe and productive manner that would allow them to bring the project in on schedule and on budget.

schedule:

This team had a detailed schedule showing how they would bring the project in on time. They also allowed for adequate design and review time in the schedule. The time allowed for design and permitting is what set this team apart from the other teams.