

Final Scoring Matrix

Weber State University
New Science Building
DFCM Project No. 12345810

January 25, 2013

Firms		A	B	C	D
Selection Criteria	Points Possible				
DFCM Past Performance Rating	25	23.4	23.4	22.4	23.8
Strength of Team	25	23.3	21.7	23.3	20.8
Project Management Plan	25	24.2	23.3	20.8	19.2
Schedule	25	24.2	23.3	22.5	20.8
Total	100	95.1	91.7	89.0	84.6

Following the evaluation of each of the firms that submitted on this project, the selection committee has selected VCBO Architecture the firm that provides the best value for the State of Utah.



State of Utah

JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.
Governor

GARY R. HERBERT
Lieutenant Governor

Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD
Executive Director

Division of Facilities Construction and Management

DAVID G. BUXTON
Director

28 January 2013

Approved By: vdh

Date Approved: 1/24/13

Agency: **Weber State University**
Project Name – Services: **WSU New Science Building**
DFCM Project No.: **12345810**
DFCM Project Manger: **Matthias Mueller**

SELECTION COMMITTEE JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT

The selection committee would like to express it's appreciation to all of the teams that proposed for services on the above references project. The selection committee selected **VCBO** based on the following detailed conclusion supporting the selection in accordance with the selection criteria:

DFCM Past Performance Rating:

This score is based on the average of ratings for previous projects as explained in the RFP.

Strength of Team:

Both VCBO and RFD have a great deal of higher education and science-learning building experience. The members of each, who were proposed for this project, have also worked together on several projects. VCBO proposed a very strong in-house team and partnered with local consultants with whom they have a continuous working relationship and who have a strong working knowledge of the WSU campus. RFD – the laboratory planner – has extensive experience in the design of integrated teaching laboratories. In addition to a cost consultant, the group also engaged a mechanical contractor to assist them with specialized cost control and estimating.

Project Management Approach:

The team submitted and presented a high quality management approach that very capably: established their experience and technical knowledge to program the project; validated their ability to produce the program on-time; confirmed their team's collaborative effort, enthusiasm, and dedication to the new Science Building; and proved their understanding of the requirements, project risks and rewards, and the creativity they will bring to the project. The team emphasized the site and identified methods of discovery to clearly define site risks. VCBO/RFD also demonstrated that they can unify diverse shareholders and interests while at the same time draw out the required program information. They established that they have the skills to manage and/or curb the project scope to meet the budget.

Schedule:

The team presented a well developed and achievable schedule.