

Final Scoring Matrix

Utah State University
 Student Recreation & Wellness Center
 DFCM Project No. 13050770

September 10, 2013

Firms		A	B	C	D
Selection Criteria	Points Possible				
Cost	20	17.8	19.3	18.4	20.0
DFCM Past Performance Rating	15	14.3	14.1	14.2	14.7
Strength of Team	35	32.7	30.3	30.3	26.8
Project Management Plan	30	29.0	24.0	24.0	22.0
Total	100	93.8	87.7	86.9	83.5

Following the evaluation of each of the firms that submitted on this project, the selection committee has selected Layton Construction as the firm that provides the best value to the State of Utah.



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

GREGORY S. BELL
Lt. Governor

Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD
Executive Director

Division of Facilities Construction and Management

P. JOSHUA HAINES
Director

Approved By: _____

Date Approved: _____

9/11/13

11 September 2013

Agency: Utah State University

Project Name: Student Life & Wellness Center – CM/GC Services

DFCM Project No.: 13050770

DFCM Project Manager: Lynn Hinrichs

SELECTION COMMITTEE JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT

The selection committee would like to express its appreciation to all of the teams that proposed for services on the above references project. The selection committee selected **Layton Construction** based on the following detailed conclusion supporting the selection in accordance with the selection criteria:

Cost:

The selection committee observed that there was not a significant difference in cost between all of the proposers. The cost criterion was scored, taking into account the variation in overall fee compared to the FLCC or budget for the project. The selected firm's overall fee exceeded the lowest fee proposal by \$111,280. The committee felt that the specific experience of the selected team with recreation centers provided the value to justify the added expense.

DFCM Past Performance Rating:

This score is based on the average of ratings for previous projects as explained in the RFP.

Strength of Team:

The selection committee determined that Layton Construction provided a project team with excellent relevant project experience. Although it was determined that all teams appeared capable of performing the work, the Layton team obtained the highest rating in this criterion.

Project Management Approach:

The selection committee determined that the Layton Construction management plan was superior to the other plans that were presented. All plans adequately addressed the confined site aspect of the project and limited access features that would be required. Layton proposed innovative solutions to involve the student body that was recognized by the committee. Layton's proposal emphasized the critical nature of the pre-construction service for the project, and integration with the owner/institution/architect team that currently exists. Layton demonstrated an understanding of the importance of this building as recognized by the institution. Schedule was not a large factor in the determination of this criterion. Existing site analysis by Layton was thorough with developed action plans for identified risks. Long-term planning issues were adequately addressed.