

Final Scoring Matrix

University of Utah
 Pierre Lassonde Living Learning Center-Commissioning
 DFCM Project No. 13285750

July 29, 2014

Firms		A	B	C	D
Selection Criteria	Points Possible				
DFCM Past Performance Rating	10	8.8	9.6	9.4	9.3
Strength of Team	40	34.7	32.0	30.7	28.0
Project Management Approach	40	32.0	32.0	26.7	26.7
Consultan can devote the necessary time to the project and assist in maintaining the project's schedule & quality.	10	7.3	8.0	7.0	7.3
Total	100	82.8	81.6	73.7	71.3

Following the evaluation of each of the firms that submitted on this project, the selection committee has selected MKK Consulting Engineers, as the firm that provides the best value to the State of Utah



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

SPENCER J COX
Lieutenant Governor

Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD
Executive Director

Division of Facilities Construction and Management

P. JOSHUA HAINES
Director

Approved By: JK

Date Approved: 7/30/14

30 July 2014

Agency: University of Utah

Project Name: Lasonde Living Learning Center Commissioning (Cx) Agent

DFCM Project No.: 13285750

DFCM Project Manager: Rick James

SELECTION COMMITTEE JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT

The selection committee would like to express its appreciation to all of the teams that proposed for services on the above references project. The selection committee selected **MKK Consulting Engineers, Inc.** based on the following detailed conclusion supporting the selection in accordance with the selection criteria:

DFCM Past Performance Rating:

This score is based on the average of ratings for previous projects as explained in the RFP.

Strength of Team: Selected team had strong capabilities in each of the following areas: 1) Presentation of the steps and process of building commissioning: 2) Clear organizational approach on the Cx team proposed for this project: 3) Inclusion of all Cx team members within one entity: 4) Availability of time and resources to complete the project 5). Strength of team of all members of the Cx team and clear identification of the point of contact for the Cx team.

Project Management Approach: The selected team presented best position in the following subjects: 1) A clear picture of how the Cx team's approach would be applied to this project 2) Confidence in the ability of the team to communicate and train ultimate operators of the building effectively. 3) Identification of major risk factors such as control of air pressures and air supply to distinct areas of the building.

Capacity and Time Availability: The selected team identified that the Cx team members had adequate time and availability to provide all Cx services required. Tools were listed to show how the project could be kept on schedule.