

# Final Scoring Matrix

University of Utah  
 Huntsman Cancer Institute Phase IV  
 DFCM Project No. 13336750

April 8, 2014

Firms		A	B	C	D
Selection Criteria	Points Possible				
DFCM Past Performance Rating	15	14.4	13.2	14.1	14.0
Strength of Team	30	27.0	26.0	26.0	23.0
Project Management Approach	30	29.0	26.0	23.0	24.0
Consultant can devote the necessary time to the project and assist in maintaining the project's schedule & quality.	15	13.5	13.0	11.0	12.5
Consultant demonstrates that it can optimize the functioning of the systems to be commissioned.	10	9.7	9.0	8.3	8.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>93.6</b>	<b>87.2</b>	<b>82.4</b>	<b>81.8</b>

Following the evaluation of each of the firms that submitted on this project, the selection committee has selected Utah New Vision Construction as the firm that provides the best value to the State of Utah.



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT  
Governor

SPENCER J COX  
Lieutenant Governor

## Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD  
Executive Director

### Division of Facilities Construction and Management

P. JOSHUA HAINES  
Director

Approved By: JK  
Date Approved: 4/8/2014

**8 April 2014**

Agency: University of Utah  
Project Name: Huntsman Cancer Institute Phase IV – Commissioning Services  
DFCM Project No.: 13336750  
DFCM Project Manager: Dave McKay

### **SELECTION COMMITTEE JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT**

The selection committee would like to express its appreciation to all of the teams that proposed for services on the above references project. The selection committee selected **Utah New Vision** based on the following detailed conclusion supporting the selection in accordance with the selection criteria:

#### DFCM Past Performance Rating:

**This score is based on the average of ratings for previous projects as explained in the RFP.**

#### Strength of Team:

**There was no separation between management and field work. The work will be handled by the 3 highly experienced firm members doing the interview. This team has respected experience with both the design/construction team and University facilities on projects of comparable complexity.**

#### Project Management Approach:

**This team presented the most direct communication model with weekly MEP Cxg meetings in addition to the required paperwork. This team proposes to meet with O&M staff to create preventative maintenance procedures. The UNV scope of work was the most thorough.**

Consultant can devote the necessary time to the project and assist in maintaining the project schedule:

**The UNV team is ready and available to go to work on this project. Weekly meetings will keep up with any construction pace.**

Consultant demonstrates that it can optimize the functioning of the systems to be commissioned:

**The UNV team proposes to use building analytics tools to extend & improve commissioning results during the one-year warranty period.**