

# Final Scoring Matrix

Weber State University  
 MEP Replacement Project-Miller Administration Building  
 DFCM Project No. 14031810

June 11, 2014

Firms		A	B	C	D	E
Selection Criteria	Points Possible					
DFCM RATING	10	9.2	9.1	9.4	9.3	9.5
Strength of Team	40	38.7	36.0	36.0	34.7	34.7
Project Management Approach	30	27.0	28.0	26.0	27.0	25.0
Schedule	20	18.7	18.7	18.7	18.0	17.3
Total	100	93.6	91.8	90.1	89.0	86.5

Following the evaluation of each of the firms that submitted on this project, the selection committee has selected Sanders Associates Architects as the firm that provides the best value to the state of Utah.



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT  
Governor

SPENCER J COX  
Lieutenant Governor

## Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD  
Executive Director

### Division of Facilities Construction and Management

P. JOSHUA HAINES  
Director

Approved By: JA

Date Approved: 6/12/14

**12 June 2014**

Agency: Weber State University

Project Name: MEP Replacement Project Miller Administration Bldg. – A/E Services

DFCM Project No.: 14031810

DFCM Project Manager: Tim Parkinson

### **SELECTION COMMITTEE JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT**

The selection committee would like to express its appreciation to all of the teams that proposed for services on the above references project. The selection committee selected **Sanders Associates Architects** based on the following detailed conclusion supporting the selection in accordance with the selection criteria:

**DFCM Rating:** This score is based on the average of ratings for previous projects as explained in the RFP.

**Strength of Team:** Very detailed in project schedule. Use of cameras and video in walls and confined spaces to eliminate unknown's. Electrical approach change service to 208V from 440v. All firm members will attend the meetings from AIA to PM. Using mostly Local sub consultants. Mechanical and Electrical was very involved in the interview. Had done a very similar project of size and scope recently and were fully aware of the risks involved.

**Project Management Approach:** Schedule was a bit aggressive, but considering their current work load A&E firm felt it was achievable. Electrical approach change service to 208V from 440v. Local sub consultants. Mechanical involvement was very detailed and structured and a good approach.

**Schedule:** Aggressive but achievable. Very detailed in the discussion and presentation of the schedule. The team felt there was a good overall schedule.