

Final Scoring Matrix

Admissions & Safe Housing - USDC
 Department of Human Services
 DFCM Project No. 14068410

December 16, 2014

Firms		A	B	C
Selection Criteria	Points Possible			
Design Proposal	20	17.3	18.0	15.3
Schedule	15	13.0	15.0	13.0
DFCM Past Performance Rating	10	9.0	9.6	8.5
Strength of Team	25	24.2	21.7	16.7
Project Management Approach	10	8.7	8.0	7.7
Cost	20	19.9	18.3	20.0
Total	100	92.1	90.5	81.2

Following the evaluation of each of the firms that submitted on this project, the selection committee has selected R&O Construction, as the firm that provides the best value to the State of Utah.



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

SPENCER J COX
Lieutenant Governor

Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD
Executive Director

Division of Facilities Construction and Management

BRUCE WHITTINGTON
Interim Director

Approved By: gn

Date Approved: 12/14/14

16 December 2014

Agency: Department of Human Services
Project Name: Admissions and Safe Housing/USDC-Design/Build
DFCM Project No.: 14068410
DFCM Project Manager: Lucas Davis

SELECTION COMMITTEE JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT

The selection committee would like to express its appreciation to all of the teams that proposed for services on the above references project. The selection committee selected **R&O Construction** based on the following detailed conclusion supporting the selection in accordance with the selection criteria:

Design Proposal:

Their proposal reduced the overall scope, but still met all other aspects of the program. It was determined that this was the best layout of the 3 proposals, based on key factors that were determined by the agency, users and selection team. The ability of the design/build team to adjust to the input during user group meetings was evident.

Schedule:

The team demonstrated it was capable of meeting the proposed completion date.

DFCM Past Performance Rating:

This score is based on the average of ratings for previous projects as explained in the RFP.

Strength of Team:

This team excelled in demonstrating a collaborative approach to meet the goals of the design/build process. Cost modeling from the beginning set realistic expectations for the project direction. The teamwork demonstrated really set this group apart as the right choice.

Project Management Approach:

Budget constraints were identified early which led to solutions up front. This approach proved critical to the team's success and will continue to be an asset moving forward.

Cost:

The cost was scored based on actual proposals from the teams.