

Value-Based Final Selection Scoring Matrix

Roof-Mounted Solar PV System
 Division of Facilities Construction and Management
 DFCM Project No. 14321300

Wednesday, July 15, 2015

Firms		A	B	C	D
Selection Criteria	Points Possible				
DFCM Past Performance Rating	10	9.9	9.43	9.8	8.8
Design Proposal	30	29.0	23.7	23.7	21.0
Schedule	10	9.7	9.0	9.0	9.0
Strength of Team	10	9.7	8.3	7.7	7.3
Project Management Approach	10	9.7	7.7	7.7	7.0
Cost	30	26.2	30.0	27.4	26.8
Total	100	94.1	88.1	85.2	79.9

Following the evaluation of each of the firms that submitted on this project, the selection committee has selected Gardner Engineering as the firm that provides the best value to the State of Utah.



State of Utah

GARY R. HERBERT
Governor

SPENCER J COX
Lieutenant Governor

Department of Administrative Services

KIMBERLY K. HOOD
Executive Director

Division of Facilities Construction and Management

BRUCE WHITTINGTON
Interim Director

Approved By: CR

Date Approved: 7/20/15

20 July 2015

Agency: Division of Facilities Construction and Management
Project Name: Roof Mounted Solar PV System-Moab Regional Center
DFCM Project No.: 14321300
DFCM Project Manager: Bianca Shama

SELECTION COMMITTEE JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT

The selection committee would like to express its appreciation to all of the teams that proposed for services on the above references project. The selection committee selected **Gardner Engineering** based on the following detailed conclusion supporting the selection in accordance with the selection criteria:

Design Proposal:

Gardner Engineering had the most thought through design and most thorough understanding of the roofing requirements set forward by the State of Utah and plan to work within these requirements. Gardner took time and thought into how to integrate a new PV system with the new roof. Gardner did their homework up front into how to address effective grounding in their design. Web truss attachments were thoroughly thought through with a reasonable amount of roof penetrations to secure system.

Schedule:

The schedule was thought through and met the requirements and timelines outlined in the RFP. Gardner Engineering presented a solid plan to deal with any common issues that could hold up a project mitigating any risk of project being delayed beyond required completion date.

Past Performance Rating:

This score is based on the average of ratings for previous projects as explained in the RFP.

Strength of Team:

Strong team with all services available in house. Team has worked on other DFCM rooftop projects giving them a strong understanding of the process and requirements of the State.

Project Management Approach:

Strong Project management approach, hands on involvement from company Principal. Good plan for minimal disruptions to building occupants during construction.

Cost:

~~Cost was within the budget and while not the least expensive option, the viability and thorough~~
evaluation of the project made the cost of good value to the State.